

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/549,445 04/14/2000 Becki Speakman 70015060.02 8917 7590 04/06/2004 **EXAMINER** Jennifer H Hammond MOHAMEDULLA, SALEHA R Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 4520 Main Street **Suite 1100** 1756 Kansas City, MO 64111

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/549,445	SPEAKMAN, BECKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Saleha R. Mohamedulla	1756
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1.4-6 and 9-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 is/are withdrawn fr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-6.9 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	om consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of the correction access and the correction of the output of the correction of the output of the correction o	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 4-6, and 9-18 are pending. Claim 10 is withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention. The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments to the claims. Applicant added claims 10-17. However, claim 10 is already pending, therefore, claims 10-17 have been renumbered claims 11-18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 4-6, 9 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US# 5,722,120 to Bindschatel et al.

Bindschatel teaches a pre-stamped adherent cover for door hinges. The adherent on the perimeter of an inner surface holds the cover in position while the door is being coated (col. 3, lines 23-25). Therefore, Bindschatel teaches a mask with an adhesive disposed on an inner surface. After the coating has dried, the cover can be peeled off easily and will leave no residue (col. 3, lines 25-30). Therefore, Bindschatel teaches that the backing is removably affixed to the mask at the inner surface and that the mask is adapted to be removably attached to the working surface at the inner surface. In one embodiment, the cover would be provided on a roll, as shown in Figure 3. Therefore, the mask is formed from a unitary and continuous substrate. The adherent cover can be peeled off the backing material 10 and applied to a door hinge quickly and

Art Unit: 1756

easily (col. 3, lines 30-40). The roll would contain six hundred adherent covers, enough for one hundred doors. Therefore, Bindschatel teaches a plurality of designs formed in the mask. Because there are enough covers for one hundred doors, an entire door can be covered without having to remove the maksing apparatus. Therefore, Bindschatel teaches that the mask is configured to be selectively dispensable to continuously cover the entire portion of the working surface (door) where the image is to be transferred such that the apparatus does not have to be repeatedly applied after each use to achieve the image transfer along the working surface. The mask is applied in a longitudinal direction on the door (Figures 1 and 2). Because the cover can remain or be peeled off easily and will leave no residue (col. 3, lines 25-30), the mask is affixedly or removably adhered. The length of the working surface does not affect the image transfer. For example, in one roll, there are enough covers for 100 doors, therefore, Bindschatel teaches application to a working surface that is at least 100 times the length of one door without repeated application. Therefore, Bindschatel teaches non-repeat application along a working surface of virtually any length. Figure 3 shows that the apparatus is a roll and that the designs repeat along a portion of the mask. Because there are six hundred designs, the designs repeat. The designs are precut designs, intended to be spray coated (col. 3, lines 20-30). Therefore, the covers form a positive image. The designs are formed in the interior portion of the mask, as shown in Figure 3.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/549,445

Art Unit: 1756

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 11, 12, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US# 5,460,087 to Ogorzalek.

Ogorzalek teaches a stencil template fabricated from a closed cell foam with a stiff backing. The template has one smooth surface and a contact surface with a temporary stick mastic (col. 1, lines 55-60). The contact surface is allowed to adapt to a textured surface while the mastic provides a sealing that prevents paint from migrating under the template when applied to a surface (col. 1, lines 60-64). The mastic that forms the contact surface will repeatedly adhere to a structural surface to which paint is to be applied (col. 2, lines 23-25). Figure 4 shows the stencil. The stencil template 14 is fabricated from a closed cell foam material with a smooth top surface 50, a bottom contact surface 52 coated with an adhesive for repeatedly adhering the template to a wall surface 48 of a structure 36 as shown in Figure 5 (col. 3, lines 26-33). Claim 1 of Ogorzalek recites that the template has cut-outs through which paint is applied to a surface to which the template is adhered. Figures 1, 2 and 5 show various designs made by the cut-outs. Ogorzalek also teaches that a protective, peel-off paper may be applied to the removable-type adhesive side of the stencil (col. 4, lines 27-31). Therefore, Ogorzalek teaches a mask formed from a continuous and unitary substrate (stencil template) having an outer surface (smooth surface) and an inner surface (contact surface). The inner surface has an adhesive disposed thereon (mastic) and a backing removably affixed to the mask at the inner surface (protective, peel-off paper). Therefore, the mask may be affixedly or removably adhered to the surface. Ogorzalek also teaches a plurality of designs formed in the mask by the cut-outs. Ogorzalek

Art Unit: 1756

teaches that the templates have die-cut and feature designs that can be repeated by repeatedly applying and moving the template along the length of the intended ornamentation (Figure 5; col. 2, lines 4-9). Therefore, Ogorzalek teaches that the template can be applied in longitudinal, as well as other, directions. Also, Ogorzalek teaches that the template is adapted to be removably attached to a surface at the inner surface (contact surface). Because the template can be repeatedly applied and moved, the template is configured to be selectively dispensable to continuously cover a portion of the surface. As shown in Figure 1, stencil 14 has a plurality of designs formed within an interior portion of the mask. These designs are cut-out portions, therefore, when paint is applied a positive image is formed.

Orgorzalek does not teach that the apparatus is selectively dispensable to continuously cover the entire portion of the working surface such that the apparatus does not have to be repeatedly applied after each use to achieve image transfer along the working surface.

Orgorzalek teaches, for instance in Figure 5, that the stencil template is repeatedly applied to form a border along a window. However, "working surface" as recited in claim 1 can be interpreted to be only a portion of a particular surface, i.e., one of ordinary skill in the art would use the stencil to pattern a surface that may be the length of the stencil, for example, a small portion to accent the window design. Therefore, the length of the working surface does not matter and the image can be transferred regardless of the length of the working surface. One of ordinary skill in the art would realize that if the working surface were the length of the stencil that the stencil does not have to be repeatedly applied after each use to achieve image transfer along the working surface.

Application/Control Number: 09/549,445 Page 6

Art Unit: 1756

3. Claims 4-6, 9, 13, 14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US# 5,460,087 to Ogorzalek as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US# 6,312,872 to Murphy et al.

Ogorzalek teaches or suggests the limitations discussed above in paragraph 2, but does not teach or suggest that a plurality of designs repeat along a portion of the mask, or that designs are formed along an outer perimeter of the mask. Ogorzalek also does not teach that the apparatus is a roll. Murphy teaches a relief image printing plate. Murphy teaches preparing composite printing elements without the need for individual registration of relief image elements and without the need for compensating for elongation due to cylindrical mounting (col. 2, lines 20-25). In one embodiment, Murphy teaches that the printing plate may be cylindrical as shown in Figure 6 (col. 3, line 65 – col. 4, line 10). Therefore, Murphy teaches that the printing plate may be a roll. Because Murphy teaches that the printing element comprises relief elements, Murphy teaches that a negative image will be transferred to a surface. Figures 9 and 14 show exemplary designs on the printing plate. These designs are relief elements. Figure 14 shows a repeating pattern on the left and right sides of the cylinder. Figure 9 shows a repeating pattern of circles. Therefore, Murphy teaches that a plurality of designs repeat along a portion of the mask. Murphy does not specifically teach forming designs along an outer perimeter, however, one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that Murphy envisions embodiments where designs are placed in the outer perimeter because Murphy teaches that individual registration of the designs or elements is not needed when making the plate (col. 2, lines 20-25). Therefore, the plate may comprise designs placed in the outer perimeter.

Art Unit: 1756

The references are analogous art as they are drawn to transferring images by applying masks to a surface; the printing plate of Murphy is used to print a variety of substrate (col. 1, lines 15-20) and the stencil of Ogorzalek is used to print ornamental structures (col. 1, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the pattern and cylinder of Murphy with the mask of Ogorzalek as Murphy teaches that the printing element can be of various shapes, such as a sheet or a cylinder (col. 3, lines 60-67). Because Murphy teaches the shape of the printing element is a design choice, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that the printing element of Ogorzalek, the stencil, could be made into different shapes.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant argues that Bindschatel is not directed to a continuous and selectively dispensable mask, but is directed to a series of pre-cut hinge covers to protect the half-hinge from overspray. However, because the covers are pre-cut, the device is selectively dispensable. Not all the pre-cut covers have to be used at the same time. The device is also continuous because it does not have to be removed or repositioned during one application, that is, it does not have to be removed or repositioned to complete the purpose that the device is meant for. Applicant argues that Bindschatel does not apply both positive and negative images, however, the claims do not require both positive and negative images. The claims require positive or negative images. Bindschatel teaches positive images. Applicant argues that Bindschatel does not teach covering a predetermined distance along a working surface or an entire working surface. However, the half-hinge in Bindschatel is the entire working surface. The working surface that Bindschatel is concerned with is the half-hinge. The pre-cut hinge cover covers the

Art Unit: 1756

half-hinge, and therefore, covers the entire working surface. Re-application does not have to be performed for the hinge cover to cover the half-hinge. Therefore, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Ogorzalek is drawn to a stencil that requires repeated application. However, the 103 rejection stated above explains the circumstances where one of ordinary skill in the art would not repeatedly apply the device, namely, where the working surface is the length of the stencil. Applicant argues that Ogorzalek does not teach affixedly adhering the device. However, Ogorzalek teaches that the inner surface has an adhesive disposed on the stencil and a backing removably affixed to the stencil at the inner surface. Therefore, the stencil may be affixedly adhered to the surface.

Applicant argues that Ogorzalek does not apply both positive and negative images, however, the claims do not require both positive and negative images. The claims require positive or negative images. Therefore, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Murphy does not teach removable adherence. However, Murphy teaches a cylinder printing plate that rolls along the working surface and prints images as the cylinder rolls. Therefore, Murphy teaches removable adherence. When the device prints a specific pattern, it is affixedly adhered to a surface. Because the cylinder rolls, Murphy teaches continuous application along the working surface without repeated application. Applicant argues that Murphy does not apply both positive and negative images, however, the claims do not require both positive and negative images. The claims require positive or negative images. Therefore, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/549,445 Page 9

Art Unit: 1756

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Saleha Mohamedulla whose telephone number is (571) 272-1387. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 1, 2004